A simple approach to water and plankton sampling for water microbiological and physicochemical characterizations at various depths in aquatic ecosystems

Fatthy Mohamed Morsy*
Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

Received 2 June 2010; Accepted 14 September 2010

Abstract – Water microbiological and physicochemical characterizations at various depths of aquatic ecosystems are basic requirements in several fields of research. A chief difficulty in hydrobiology, limnology, biological oceanography and water environmental microbiology is that of taking accurate field collections that are representative of the natural environment and population at various depths of aquatic ecosystems. Gathering water/plankton samples in a level just below the surface of the water body upon rising in columns from various depths due to hydrostatic pressure variations provided a simple approach for water and plankton sampling. Based on this approach, several forms of simple devices for water and plankton sampling were developed for water microbiological and physicochemical characterizations at various depths of aquatic ecosystems. The described approach showed less sampling errors in comparison to Van Dorn bottle and was comparable to water pumping but requires no external source of power. The described samplers are inexpensive and can be self constructed.
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Introduction

A major problem of studies on the water microflora and microfauna in general is the need for accurate estimates and sampling of the standing crop at various depths in aquatic ecosystems. Phytoplankton, bacterio-plankton, and microscopic zooplankton such as protozoan are some of the water microorganisms. Plankton in general can be collected by towed plankton nets and plankton traps (Pierce, 1937; Slack, 1955; Clarke, 1964; Longhurst et al., 1966; Heron, 1982; Kimmerer, 1984; O’Hara, 1984; Wiebe and Benfield, 2003). Quantitative and qualitative phyto- and zooplankton harvesting by nets and plankton traps can involve many technical difficulties and errors (Hopkins, 1963; Tuel and Knauer, 1982; Hernroth, 1987; Boney, 1989; Hays, 1994; John et al., 2002). Many water microorganisms cannot be collected by nets but require water sampling from various depths to be characterized in laboratory. Various equipments have been developed for obtaining water samples from various depths of water bodies for further laboratory microflora and microfauna characterizations (Lund and Talling, 1957; Van Dorn, 1957; Nicholls, 1979; Sutherland et al., 1992; Sauter et al., 2005; Seuront and Menu, 2006; Ahn et al., 2008). However, such devices often have difficulties in their operation and control in the field. They are limited in sampling volume and have restrictions in maximum depth to which they can be used. The pumping approach has been effectively applied over decades for water and plankton sampling; however, it often requires a means of lifting the water, without having the sample pass through the pumping mechanism for reducing the risk of shearing phytoplankton colonies.

Towed video microscopy was used to unobtrusively determine distributions of oceanic plankton over a continuum of scales from microns to hundreds of meters (Davis et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1996). However, samples on hand are still required for laboratory manipulations and characterization of undetectable microorganisms by the video plankton recorder (VPR). In addition, it is not always possible to identify organisms imaged with VPR to the species level (Benfield et al., 1996). The work undertaken here describes a simple approach and the construction details and field application of several forms of simple devices for water and plankton sampling for water microbiological and physicochemical characterizations at various depths of aquatic ecosystems.

*Corresponding author: fmorsy@aun.edu.eg

Article published by EDP Sciences
Material and methods

Construction details and operation of the water/plankton samplers

The water/plankton sampler (Fig. 1A) consists of a metallic sampler chamber immersed just below the surface of the water body and supported by floating rubber edges. The sampler chamber contains a tap in a position just below the water surface and connected to a rubber tube. The free inlet of the tube was connected to the end of a rope where a metal weight was bound. With the tap closed, the rope of a desired length, the inlet of the rubber tube and the metal weight were immersed in the water body to the desired depth. With the tap opened, water continuously passed from the target depth through the rubber tube to the tap in the sampler chamber and collected in volumes as much as required. Several forms of the tubing systems have been tested including compact multilevel tubes with several taps in the sampling collection chamber for each prescribed depth (diagrammatic view not shown).

Another form of the water/plankton sampler was developed (Fig. 1B). The sampler operates by using hydrostatic pressure to allow water flow from a desired depth to a collection bottle open to the atmosphere located just below the water surface. In this form of the device, water passes from the desired depth through a rubber tube and is collected in a sample bottle held under the water surface by just few centimeters.

For quantitative plankton collection from various depths of the aquatic ecosystem, the water sampling system described above was supplemented with a plankton filtration unit (Fig. 2). The tap of the water sampling system was connected to the inlet of the plankton filtration unit which consists of a plankton gathering bottle containing a small cone net connected to the outlet of the plankton gathering bottle. The cone net can be made from a variety of materials with different mesh sizes for filtering various plankton size classes.
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Discussion

In spite of their wide application, towed plankton nets and plankton traps were developed to be used in open waters of large and deep water bodies (Burd and Thomson, 1993; Warner and Hays, 1994; Batten et al., 2003; Wiebe and Benfield, 2003). However, it is often necessary to take samples in special environments, shallow or densely vegetated, where the use of the conventional sampling devices presents many technical difficulties. The described approach in this study is very suitable for such environments, which are not well served by plankton nets. The various forms of devices described in this study might apply to the original definition of microscale, i.e., scales smaller than 1 m (Mackas et al., 1985; Seuront and Menu, 2006). In contrast, limitations of currently used traditional plankton samplers (e.g., nets) have precluded sampling at resolutions finer than 10 m horizontally or 5 m vertically (Davis et al., 1992b) which has resulted in a dearth of direct measurement of physical, chemical, and biological distributions on ecologically meaningful scales (Davis et al., 1992a; Donaghay et al., 1992; Kils, 1992; Schulze et al., 1992; Seuront and Menu, 2006). The water samplers described here also result in a considerable savings of time, thus allowing a greater number of samples to be collected. The continuous water flow allowed for a large volume of water to be easily sampled quantitatively from various depths of the water body. This is in contrast to most of the automated traditional water samplers that have been described in the literature which were based on lowering the man body of the sampler to the desired depth where it collects a fixed volume of water, which must be raised with the device. The original samplers described elsewhere were based on modified single or multilevel Kemmerer, Van Dorn, or Niskin samplers and designed to operate in a horizontal and/or vertical position to investigate microstructure in open water, stratified waters, and at the water-sediment interface (Lund, 1954; Walker, 1955; Van Dorn, 1957; Finucane and May, 1961; Gleason and Goff, 1963; Joeris, 1964; Summerfelt and Lewis, 1968; Culberson and Pytkowicz, 1970; Seuront and Menu, 2006). Those samplers were further replaced by smaller and more versatile multilevel glass bottle (Baker, 1970) and syringe (Broenkow, 1969; Clasby et al., 1972; Heaney, 1974; Blakar, 1979; Seymour et al., 2000) samplers. The technical difficulties of those previously described...
traditional samplers have been avoided in the various forms of devices described in this study. A comparison of the described approach in this study and the water pumping approach for sampling water and plankton from various depths, showed comparable results (data not shown) but the described approach avoids mechanical shearing of plankton that often occurs in the pumping approach. In addition, the described approach requires no power source because water moves due to hydrostatic pressure variations. In addition, the various forms of devices described in this study can be self-assembled/constructed. The described approach has advantages in reducing standard deviation among replicate samples and thus showed less sampling errors. Application of the described approach in this study for large bodies of water, large lakes or inland seas, is possible and would require further future studies and descriptions of new designs based on the described approach development. Modifications of the described devices and construction of other forms based on the described approach in this study would be of interest to enable new insights into the aquatic ecosystems.
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### Table 1. Comparison of physicochemical characteristics of water samples collected using devices described in this study and water samples collected by Van Dorn bottle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Device form (A)</th>
<th>Device form (B)</th>
<th>Van Dorn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.85 ± 0.12</td>
<td>7.85 ± 0.15</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved oxygen (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>12.7 ± 1.95</td>
<td>13.6 ± 2</td>
<td>14.5 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric conductivity (µS.cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>189 ± 8</td>
<td>194 ± 9</td>
<td>176 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS (mg.L(^{-1}))(^a)</td>
<td>121 ± 5.1</td>
<td>124 ± 5.8</td>
<td>112.9 ± 17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples were collected at 1, 5 and 10 m depth of the Nile River using devices described in this study and Van Dorn bottle for comparison.

\(^a\)TSS, total suspended solids.

Mean values and standard deviations are of three replicates.

![Fig. 4. Comparison of C-PC content between the water sampler described in this study and Van Dorn bottle.](image)

Fig. 4. Comparison of C-PC content between the water sampler described in this study and Van Dorn bottle. The vertical profile of C-PC as a marker of cyanobacterial abundance in El-Malah canal showing the diel vertical migration of cyanobacteria is based on samples collected using the water sampler (form A) described in this study (panel A) and a Van Dorn bottle (panel B). A series of samples was conducted in the morning at 09:00 (open circles), midday at 12:00 (closed circles), afternoon at 16:00 (open squares) and midnight at 24:00 (closed triangles). Mean values and standard error bars are of three replicates.
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